

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,605	03/04/2002	Randy Britton	020375-005800US	7661
20350 795 795 797 1091720908 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO. CA 94111-3834			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, NGA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/091.605 BRITTON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Nga B. Nguyen 3692 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 19 and 22-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19 and 22-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on June 27, 2008, which
paper has been placed of record in the file.

Claims 20-21 has been canceled. Claims 19 and 22-35 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments/Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 22-35 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that the system of Buchanan does not deign to prevent fraudulent activities, examiner submits that Buchanan discloses in column 5, lines 9-25 that the <u>tracking system may also classify the account as closed when the account is extremely delinquent, is bankrupt; the account may also be closed by reason of a default, such as a failure by the customer to pay the minimum balance on the accumulated charges on the credit card; If the account is classified as closed, the tracking system proceeds to a closures step, any deposits that are in the savings account are used to pay off the balance on the credit card account and the remainder of the deposits are returned to the customer. Thus, <u>the Buchanan's tracking system does perform "fraud monitoring and prevention measures" (monitoring whether the account is extremely delinquent, bankrupt or default; preventing by closing the account).</u></u>

In conclusion, for the reason set forth above, examiner decides to maintain the rejection and make this Office action FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605

Art Unit: 3692

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 19 and 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Buchanan et al (hereinafter Buchanan), U.S. Patent No. 5,950,179, in view of Lent et al (hereinafter Lent). U.S. Patent No. 6.324.524.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605

Art Unit: 3692

Regarding to claim 19, Buchanan discloses a method for managing newly opened credit accounts for fraudulent activities, herein the new credit accounts are fully established by executing a batch process at a designed time in a processing cycle, and wherein the batch process establishes parameters for the accounts in order to enable fraud monitoring and prevention measures for the accounts, the method, comprising:

approving and opening a new credit account (column 3, lines 25-30, the account is approved and an advanced credit card is printed and issued to the new customer); and

upon opening the new credit account, applying a set of restrictions to the new credit account, the set of restrictions being designed to focus on a transaction incurred with the new credit account and minimize fraudulent activities until the credit account is fully established by executing the batch process (column 3, lines 30-67, the advanced credit account required the customer to make secured deposit into customer's saving account for sufficient period of time in order to make the advanced credit account fulfilled; column 5, line 60-column 6, line 5).

Buchanan does not disclose approving and opening a new credit account in realtime. However, Lent discloses approving and opening in real-time (*column 6, lines 10-15*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Buchanan's to incorporate the feature taught by Lent's above for the purpose of providing time consuming for the consumer when requesting a new credit account because the approval is done in real-time.

Regarding to claim 22, Buchanan further discloses if a restriction within the set of

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 3692

restrictions is violated, denying an authorization to use the new credit account (column 6, lines 62-67).

Regarding to claim 23, Buchanan further discloses wherein the set of restrictions is provided by an issuer of the new credit account (column 3, lines 1-5).

Regarding to claim 24, wherein the set of restrictions includes a limit on allowable transaction amount for each individual transaction incurred in connection with the new credit account (column 6, lines 62-67)

Regarding to claims 25-26, Buchanan does not disclose the allowable transaction amount is limited to a percentage of available credit assigned for the new credit account and wherein the set of restrictions includes a limit on type of transaction that is allowable under the new credit account. However, the allowable transaction amount is limited to a percentage of available credit assigned for the new credit account and wherein the set of restrictions includes a limit on type of transaction that is allowable under the new credit account are well known in the art of processing and tracking a credit card account. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Buchanan's to incorporate the well-known features above for the purpose of eliminating the risk for approving a credit card account.

Claims 27 -35 contain similar limitations found in claims 19-26 above, therefore, are rejected by the same rationale.

### Conclusion

Claims 19 and 22-35 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605

Art Unit: 3692

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to examiner Nga B. Nguyen whose telephone number is
(571) 272-6796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from
9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571) 272-6702.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communication intended for entry).

or

(571) 273-6796 (for informal or draft communication, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/091,605 Page 7

Art Unit: 3692

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nga B. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

October 10, 2008